

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,126	11/21/2003	Craig Miller	81091282	1125	
28395	7590 11/14/2005		EXAMINER		
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER			FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
22ND FLOOI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIEL	D, MI 48075-1238		3679		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/707,126	MILLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Michael P. Ferguson	3679		

	Michael P. Ferguson	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	Iress -
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7,8 and 10-14</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
13.	(_	aniel P/	Stodola
		DANIEL P. STODO SUPERVISORY PATENT I	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Attorney's arguments in regards to the rejections of Morfield (US 6,536,983) and Morefield in view of Hobbs et al. (US 5,613,726) have been fully considered. However, upon further consideration, the rejections as set forth in the Office action dated August 1, 2005 are still believed to be proper. Accordingly, Attorney's arguments are not persuasive.